

Making Videogames Available in Higher Education

Cassandra Barkman

The University of Melbourne
Grattan Street, Parkville, 3010
13 6352

cass.barkman@unimelb.edu.au

Keywords

videogames, higher education, accessibility, education, availability

INTRODUCTION

Despite videogames being one of the biggest media industries in the world, worth over \$240 billion in 2020 (Business Envoy 2022) and over 80% of all Australians regularly playing videogames (IGEA 2023), their integration into subjects and learning opportunities at the University of Melbourne has been limited and scattered across disciplines. A potential reason for this is the challenges posed in making videogames available as texts to students compared to other media like literature and cinema. These challenges include, but are not limited to, requiring specific platforms to access specific games (Castro & Sant’Anna 2023; Courts & Lederman 2009), the prohibitive cost and time games require to play, barriers that women, girls and other minorities experience regarding cultural accessibility (Richard 2024), and ongoing issues with accessing older games trapped on obsolete hardware (Dym et al. 2023; Sköld 2018). These challenges and more require meaningful effort to overcome, but doing so is necessary to help facilitate the expansion of games analysis and integration of game studies in higher education in line with the growth of the local videogame industry and field.

This paper will present in-progress research at the University of Melbourne into how best to overcome these challenges to student availability and allow greater integration of videogames into student learning both at the University and beyond. I am in the process of developing a white paper report for key stakeholders such as librarians and subject coordinators that will synthesise the expertise and experiences of academic and professional staff to provide both short-term and long-term solutions to help integrate videogames as study texts into subjects. This research’s intention is to help develop a foundation for games curatorship, librarianship and availability at higher education institutions in Australia going forward.

While this research is ongoing and still in its early stages, I am currently able to make a few initial recommendations. Regarding short-term recommendations, I propose that coordinators prioritise videogames with the following characteristics: highly accessible, cheap or free, available on PC and mobile and can be played in a classroom setting. These parameters may be limiting in some cases, but they can also be beneficial in exposing students to a breadth of games and challenging a potential ‘game studies canon’ (Frome & Marton 2019; Zagal 2019), thus allowing for alternate avenues of analysis that prioritise less hegemonic forms of games and play. As Zagal (2012, 670) argues, “working [with a games canon list] presupposes many things about students, what they know, what they do not know, and what their knowledge and abilities are”. Challenging these base assumptions through foregrounding more available and affordable game experiences allows for both greater accessibility and new avenues of

Proceedings of DiGRA Australia 2025

© 2025 Authors & Digital Games Research Association DiGRA. Personal and educational classroom use of this paper is allowed, commercial use requires specific permission from the author.

analysis. This also aligns with the approaches and goals of research into accessibility and inclusivity more broadly in game studies (Dumont & Bonenfant 2023; Harrison, Rowlings & Aivaliotis-Martienz 2024). A key outcome of this report will be a living document to track games that are available for free and cheap online and on mobile devices, to give educators the tools to provide a breadth of games to students. Relatedly, I also recommend a focus on considering and developing games literacy for both students and educators. As Buckingham and Burns (2007, 5) describe, being games ‘literate’ involves being conscious of videogames across cultural, critical and creative dimensions, ensuring that both students and educators are given the tools to close analyse, situate and potentially even make games (see also Apperley & Beavis 2013; Zagal 2008).

Long-term implementation, however, requires meaningful institutional and infrastructural support. This would involve advocating for dedicated spaces to play videogames, as well as arguing for their inclusion in traditional library services (see Buchanan & Vanden Elzen 2017; Wood & Carter 2017). University funds able to provide access to subscription services like PS+, Switch Online and Game Pass can also provide a meaningfully large library easily, but also a volatile one given how quickly the titles available can change. This research, while preliminary, therefore intends to investigate the capacity for universities to acquire educational licenses to teach with specific videogames, as well as the potential role emulation can play in making videogames more broadly available. Advocating for these implementations, overall, is worthwhile in ensuring a variety of videogames and experiences be made easily available to a diverse student cohort.

BIO

Cassandra Barkman is a senior tutor in Media & Communications at the University of Melbourne. Her research largely regards the close textual analysis of videogames, narratology, fandoms, interactive movies and complex storytelling. She is also a board member for DiGRA Australia, co-vice editor of student journal Press Start and deputy director of new University of Melbourne research initiative MAGPIE (Melbourne Academic Games, Play and Interactive Entertainment).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was made possible through the Teaching Innovation Grant provided by the School of Culture and Communication at the University of Melbourne.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Apperley, T., & Beavis, C. 2013. A Model for Critical Games Literacy. *E-Learning and Digital Media*, 10, 1, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.2304/elea.2013.10.1.1>
- Buchanan, K., & Vanden Elzen, A. M. (2017). Beyond a Fad: Why Video Games Should Be Part of 21st Century Libraries. *Education Libraries*, 35, 1–2, 15-33. <https://doi.org/10.26443/el.v35i1-2.342>
- Buckingham, D., & Burn, A. 2007. Game Literacy in Theory and Practice. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 16, 3, 323–349.
- Business Envoy, 2022. The Booming Australian Digital Games Industry, *DFAT Business Envoy February 2022*. <https://www.dfat.gov.au/about-us/publications/trade-investment/business-envoy/business-envoy-february-2022/booming-australian-digital-games-industry>
- Castro, H. R., & Sant’Anna, D. A. L. M. 2023. Playing against the platform: A research note on the impact of exclusivity under vertical competition in video game

- platforms. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122501>
- Corts, K. S., & Lederman, M. 2009. Software exclusivity and the scope of indirect network effects in the U.S. home video game market. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 27 2, 121–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijindorg.2008.08.002>
- Dumont, A., & Bonenfant, M. 2023. “Thinking Inclusiveness, Diversity, and Cultural Equity Based on Game Mechanics and Accessibility Features in Popular Video Games.” In *The Palgrave Handbook of Disability and Communication*, edited by M. S. Jeffress, J. M. Cypher, J. Ferris, & J.-A. Scott-Pollock, 221–242. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-14447-9_14
- Dym, B., Simpson, E., Fong, O., & Striegl, L. 2023. The Internet Is Not Forever: Challenges and Sustainability in Video Game Archiving and Preservation. *Journal of Electronic Gaming and Esports*, 1 1. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jege.2022-0041>
- Frome, J., & Martin, P. 2019. Describing the Game Studies Canon: A Game Citation Analysis. *Proceedings of DiGRA 2019*.
- Harrison, M., Rowlings, J., & Aivaliotis-Martinez, D. 2024. *Press B to Belong: Using Esports to Promote Inclusive School Communities*. Leeds, United Kingdom: Emerald Publishing Limited.
- IGEA, 2023. Australia Plays, *Australia Plays 2023*. https://igea.net/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/IGEA_AP2023_FINAL_REPORT.pdf
- Richard, G. T. 2024. Video Games, Gender, Diversity, and Learning as Cultural Practice: Implications for Equitable Learning and Computing Participation Through Games. *Educational Technology*, 57 2, 36–43.
- Sköld, O. 2018. Understanding the “expanded notion” of videogames as archival objects: A review of priorities, methods, and conceptions. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 69 1, 134–145. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.23875>
- Wood, K., & Carter, D. S. 2018. Art and technology: Archiving video games for humanities research in university libraries. *Art Libraries Journal*, 43 4, 185–195. <https://doi.org/10.1017/alj.2018.29>
- Zagal, J. P. 2008. A framework for games literacy and understanding games. *Proceedings of the 2008 Conference on Future Play: Research, Play, Share*, 33–40. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1496984.1496991>
- Zagal, J. P. 2012. Why a Game Canon for Game Studies Education is Wrong. In *Computer Games and New Media Cultures: A Handbook of Digital Games Studies*, edited by J. Fromme & A. Unger, 665–677. Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-2777-9>